

PATENT COOPERATION TI. ...TY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 98215M	FOR FURTHER ACTION	SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternational Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No. PCT/JP98/04457	International filing date (day/n 02 October 1998 (02.1	-	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 October 1997 (02.10.1997)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or r G01N 33/68, G06F 17/00, 15/18				
Applicant	ITAI, Akiko			
and is transmitted to the applicant a 2. This REPORT consists of a total of This report is also accompan amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the	according to Article 36.	ng this cover sh f the description ning rectificat	neet. on, claims and/or drawings which have been tions made before this Authority (see Rule	
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I				
Date of submission of the demand		f completion o		
02 October 1998 (02.10 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Author	30 A	April 1999 (30.04.1999)	
Japanese Patent Office, 4-3 Kasumiga Chiyoda-ku. Tokyo 100-8915, Japan Facsimile No.		Telephone No. (81-3) 3581 1101		



International application No

PCT/JP98/04457

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Į. Bas	is of the report	
1. Wi	th regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	the international application as originally filed	
	the description:	
	pages	, as originally filed
	pages	Charles and the charles are
1	pages	, filed with the letter of
	the claims:	
	pages	, as originally filed
		. as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
ĺ		, filed with the demand
		, filed with the letter of
	the drawings:	
	pages	, as originally filed
		, filed with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of
	the sequence listing part of the description:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, as originally filed
		, filed with the demand
		, filed with the letter of
the	international application was filed, unless otherwise indicate use elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the language of publication of the international application.	the following language which is: f international search (under Ruie 23.1(b)).
3. Wit prel	liminary examination was carried out on the basis of the seq	
	contained in the international application in written form filed together with the international application in compu	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	ter (cadable form.
Ī	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer read	table form
		on sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
	The statement that the information recorded in compu been furnished.	ter readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pages	
	the claims, Nos.	
	the drawings, sheets/fig	
5.		dments had not been made, since they have been considered to go lental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
in th	lacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving his report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to 70.17).	Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70,16
** .4ny i	replacement sheet containing such amendments must be refe	erred to under item I and annexed to this report.



international application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP98/04457

III. Non	establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
1. The indus	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be trially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application.
\boxtimes	claims Nos
becau	isc:
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 11 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
A	database corresponds to the mere presentation of information.
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos arc so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. no international search report has been established for said claims Nos
2. A me	aningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid ence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:
	the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard. the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard,

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP98/04457

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES	
	Claims		NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES	
	Claims	1-10	ио	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES	
	Claims		NO	

2. Citations and explanations

Claims 1-10

Document 1: JP, 7-206894, A (Advanced Technology Institute K.K.), 8 August, 1995 (08.08.95)

Document I discloses the idea, when predicting the three-dimensional structure of a protein, of carrying out investigations whereby proteins that have a known three-dimensional structure and are highly homologous to the protein of unknown three-dimensional structure are selected. It also discloses the idea of investigating physico-chemical parameters such as degree of polarity and pK value in this case.

It is considered that it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to use the hydrophobicity or hydrophilicity of the side chains of the amino acid residues as such a parameter.